	Case 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM Docume	ent 22 Filed 12/04/20 Page 1 of 2
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	UNITED STATES	S DISTRICT COURT
9	EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	MARIO A. VALENZUELA,	Case No. 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM (PC)
12	Plaintiff,	ORDER CLARIFYING DEADLINE TO FILE RESPONSE TO COMPLAINT
13	v.	(ECF No. 21)
14	SANTIESTEBAN, et al.,	Response to Complaint Due: January 5, 2021
15	Defendants.	Response to Complaint Duc. Galidary 3, 2021
16		
17	Plaintiff Mario A. Valenzuela ("Plaintiff") is a state prisoner proceeding <i>pro se</i> and <i>in</i>	
18	forma pauperis in this civil rights action under 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This action proceeds against	
19	Defendants Santiesteban, Rodriguez, and Alejo for excessive force in violation of the Eighth	
20	Amendment and against Defendant Perez for failure to protect in violation of the Eighth	
21	Amendment, arising from the incident on June 22, 2019.	
22	On October 23, 2020, the Court found service of the complaint appropriate and directed	
23	service on Defendants Santiesteban, Rodriguez, Alejo, and Perez under the Court's E-Service	
24	pilot program. (ECF No. 14.) Pursuant to that order, the service order, summonses, and the	
25	operative complaint were served via email on the California Department of Corrections and	
26	Rehabilitation ("CDCR"). On November 6, 2020, CDCR filed a Notice of E-Service Waiver	
27	indicating that all Defendants intended to waive service. (ECF No. 18.) The California Attorney	
28	General's Office timely filed a waiver of service of process for all Defendants on December 3,	
	1	

2020. (ECF No. 21.) Pursuant to the Court's E-Service Order, "[a] defendant who timely waives service need not serve an answer to the complaint until 60 days after the waiver of service of process was sent." (ECF No. 14, p. 3.) Further, "[f]or any defendant that the CDCR advises will be waiving service, the date the CDCR files its Notice of E-Service Waiver will be considered the date the request for waiver was sent." (Id.) Defendants' waiver of service, as well as the Court's docket entry for the submitted waiver, incorrectly reflects that Defendants' answer or motion under Rule 12 is due within 60 days after December 3, 2020, or the date the waiver was filed. (ECF No. 21.) By the instant order, the Court clarifies that pursuant to the October 23, 2020 E-Service Order, Defendants' answer or other responsive pleading is due within 60 days after November 6, 2020, the date CDCR's Notice of E-Service Waiver was filed. Should Defendants require additional time to respond to the complaint, they may file a motion for an extension of time setting forth good cause in support of the request. Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Defendants shall file an answer or other response to the complaint on or before January 5, 2021. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: **December 4, 2020**

Case 1:20-cv-01093-NONE-BAM Document 22 Filed 12/04/20 Page 2 of 2